UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/854,334	05/11/2001	Dan Kikinis	007287.00035	7799
22907 BANNER & W	7590 06/03/201 ITCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
1100 13th STRI SUITE 1200		NGUYEN BA, HOANG VU A		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2421	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/03/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/854,334	KIKINIS ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Hoang-Vu A. Nguyen-Ba	2421		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDOI	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	rance except for formal matters, p			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5,7-12,14,16,18-25,27-30 and 3 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3, 5, 7-12, 14, 16, 18-25, 27-30 and 3 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and 3	rawn from consideration. nd 33-36 is/are rejected.	ation.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) as a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is contact the drawing of the drawing	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summa			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/854,334 Page 2

Art Unit: 2421

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 12, 2010 has been entered.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-12, 14, 16, 18-25, 27-30 and 33-36 are pending. Claims 1, 12 and 23 are independent claims.

Response to Amendments

3. Per Applicants' request, new claim 36 has been added.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Objection

5. Claim 7 is objected to because of a minor typographical error: "The system of claim 4" should be – The system of claim 1--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of the 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which form the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-8, 11-12, 14-16, 18-19, 22-25, 27-29 and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0288366 by Boylan, III et al. ("Boylan") in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2008/0134239 by Knowles et al. ("Knowles").

It should be noted that hereinafter the use of the clause "see at least" should be interpreted that the cited portions that follow the clause are not the only portions or descriptions of embodiments that are considered to be relevant. Should Applicant find that the cited portions are not relevant, other portions of the disclosure or descriptions of embodiments of the prior art reference will be provided as additional evidence and/or context to the relevancy of the previously cited portions. Since the evidence is from the same reference, the introduction of the additional evidence in response to Applicant's arguments should not therefore be considered to be that of new grounds of rejection.

Claim 1

Boylan discloses a system (see at least FIG. 5, User TV Equipment 54), comprising:

a memory (see at least FIG. 5, STB 58 and [0038]) storing a first object (see at least [0038], e.g., application program stored on STB for providing interactive television programming guide), a second object, the second object configured to define an interactive component for display in an interactive electronic programming guide (EPG), the interactive component including localized content (see at least [0004], application program for provide non-programming products and services; [0007-0010], e.g., application program to providing local ads;) and a third object corresponding to content information for display in the EPG (see at least [0038], e.g., program listings information); and

a processor (see at least [0038], e.g., processor of the STB 58) configured to generate the interactive EPG by combining the first object, the second object and the third object, wherein a layout of the interactive EPG is defined by the first object (see at least FIGs. 13, 15 which shows different EPG layouts).

Boylan does not specifically disclose the remaining features of the claim.

However, in an analogous art, Knowles discloses:

and wherein the first object is selected from a plurality of world objects and each of the plurality of world objects defines a different theme for an EPG layout (the claimed world objects are equated with Knowles' tabs, e.g., Menu, PPV, Grid Guide, Themes,

Application/Control Number: 09/854,334

Art Unit: 2421

What's New; thus FIGs. 2-5, 7 show the Grid Guide theme; FIGs. 9-16 show Movies theme; etc.).

Page 4

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the features of Knowles in Boylan because the use of Knowles in Boylan would greatly enhance a user viewing experience.

Claim 12

Claim 12 is a method claim that corresponds to the system claim 1. Therefore, Claim 12 is rejected for the same reasons set forth in Claim 1.

Claim 23

Claim 12 is an apparatus claim (i.e., a machine-readable storage medium tangibly embodying a sequence of instructions executable by a processor) that corresponds to Claim 1. Therefore, Claim 23 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in Claim 1.

Claims 2, 14 and 24

Rejections of base claims 1, 12 and 23, respectively are incorporated. Boylan-Knowles further discloses *wherein the system comprises a set-top box, a television, or a VCR* (Boylan; see at least FIG. 5, equipment 54).

Claims 3 and 25

Rejections of base claims 1 and 23, respectively are incorporated. Boylan-Knowles further discloses wherein the system includes a plurality of drivers, one of the drivers communicating with a separate unit to replenish programming information (Boylan; see at least FIG. 5, since a driver is, by definition, a program that determines how a computer will communicate with a peripheral device, the set-top box has different drivers in order to communicate with the tuner(s), the memory – whether internal or external --, VCR, TV, etc.).

Claim 4 (canceled)

Claim 15

The rejection of base claim 12 and 23 is incorporated. Boylan-Knowles further discloses wherein the first object is selected from a plurality of world objects, each of the plurality of world objects configured to define a different EPG layout for displaying the content programming information (Boylan; see at least [0038], e.g., the claimed world objects are interpreted to read on the various program listings – program listings for PPV programs in FIG. 4; program listings for a region in FIG. 15 and [0070-0072]).

Claims 5, 16 and 27

Rejections of base claims 1, 12 & 23 and intervening claims 4; 13, 14 & 24, 26, respectively are incorporated. Boylan-Knowles further discloses *wherein the third object includes at least one of a schedule times, channel identification and a title* (Boylan; see at least [0037-0038]).

Claim 7

The rejection of base claim 1 incorporated. Boylan-Knowles further discloses *wherein* the second object is configured to provide non-EPG e-commerce interactivity (Boylan; see at least FIGs. 13 and 15).

Claim 8

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Boylan-Knowles further discloses wherein the first object is selected from a plurality of world objects based on a selected type of programming, wherein each of the plurality of world objects defines a different EPG layout (Boylan; see at least [0038], e.g., the claimed world objects are interpreted to read on the various program listings – program listings for PPV programs in FIG. 4; program listings for a region in FIG. 15 and [0070-0072]).

Claim 11

The rejections of base claim 1 is incorporated. Boylan-Knowles further discloses *a user* interface configured to receive user interaction with the localized content of the interactive *EPG* (Boylan; see at least FIG. 15, e.g., user can highlight and click on the local advertisement).

Claim 13 (canceled)

Claims 18 and 28

Pursuant to Claim 18, the rejection of base claim 12 is incorporated.

Pursuant to Claim 28, the rejection of base claim 23 is incorporated.

Boylan-Knowles further discloses wherein the second object is a non-EPG e-commerce objects (Boylan; see at least FIGs. 13 and 15).

Claims 19 and 29

Rejections of base claims 12 & 23 and intervening claims 13-18 & 24-28 are respectively incorporated. Boylan-Knowles further discloses wherein the first object is selected from a plurality of world objects based on selected programming content (Boylan; see at least [0038], e.g., the claimed world objects are interpreted to read on the various program listings – program listings for PPV programs in FIG. 4; program listings for a region in FIG. 15 and [0070-0072]).

Claim 22

The rejection of base claim 12 is incorporated. Boylan-Knowles further discloses *further* comprising generating a user interface coupled to the EPG and configured to receive user interaction with the localized interactive component (Boylan; see at least FIG. 15, e.g., user can highlight and click on the local advertisement).

Claim 26 (canceled)

Application/Control Number: 09/854,334 Page 7

Art Unit: 2421

Claim 35

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Boylan-Knowles further discloses wherein the interactive component is based on the content information displayed in the EPG (Boylan; see at least [0081]).

Claim 36

The rejection of base claim 1 is incorporated. Boylan-Knowles further discloses *wherein* the theme of the EPG layout is based on the content information of the third object (Knowles; see at least FIGs. 2-5, 7 show the Grid Guide theme; FIGs. 9-16 show Movies theme; etc.).

8. Claims 9-10, 20-21, 30 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boylan in view of Knowles, as applied to the corresponding base and intervening claims, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,754,906 to Finseth et al. ("Finseth").

Claim 9

Rejections of base claim 1 and intervening claim 8 are incorporated. Boylan-Knowles does not specifically disclose wherein the first object defines a virtual world and a subset of the virtual world is displayed as a matrix of rectangular boxes containing current program information.

However, in an analogous art, Finseth does disclose a matrix of rectangular boxes containing program information (see at least FIG. 8A).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use this feature of Finseth in Boylan-Knowles because the use of the feature would enhance the program listings information in Boylan-Knowles.

Claim 10

The rejections of base claim 1 and intervening claim 7 are incorporated. Boylan-Knowles does not specifically disclose *wherein the second object is received in real time*.

However, in an analogous art, Finseth discloses an electronic catalog (see at least 20:27-29), which is deemed to be updated in real-time in order to reflect the inventory of items in stock so that when a user searches the electronic catalog for an purchasing item and /or places an order, the item(s) is/are available or shown to be available in the near future.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Finseth in Boylan-Knowles because the use of Finseth's real-time operating capability in Boylan-Knowles would help the e-commerce to be more efficient and thus increasing the company sales.

Claim 20

The rejection of base claim 12 is incorporated. Boylan-Knowles does not specifically disclose wherein the first object defines a virtual world and wherein a subset of the virtual world is displayed as a matrix of rectangular boxes containing current program information.

However, in an analogous art, Finseth does disclose a matrix of rectangular boxes containing program information (see at least FIG. 8A).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use this feature of Finseth in Boylan-Knowles because the use of this feature would enhance the program listings information in Boylan-Knowles.

Claim 30

The rejection of base claim 23 and intervening claims 24, 26-29 are incorporated. Boylan-Knowles does not specifically disclose wherein the first object defines a virtual world and wherein a subset of the virtual world is displayed as a matrix of rectangular boxes containing current program information.

However, in an analogous art, Finseth does disclose a matrix of rectangular boxes containing program information (see at least FIG. 8A).

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use this feature of Finseth in Boylan-Knowles because the use of the feature would enhance the program listings information in Boylan-Knowles.

Claim 31

Rejections of base claim 23 and intervening claims 24, 26-30 are incorporated. Boylan-Knowles-Finseth further discloses wherein the first object is user-selected from a plurality of world objects, each of the plurality of world objects defining a different layout for displaying the programming information (Boylan; see at least [0038], e.g., the claimed world objects are interpreted to read on the various program listings – program listings for PPV programs in FIG. 4; program listings for a region in FIG. 15 and [0070-0072]).

Claims 21 and 33

Pursuant to Claim 21, rejections of base claim 12 and intervening claim 18 are incorporated.

Pursuant to Claim 33, the rejection of base claim 23 is incorporated.

Boylan-Knowles does not specifically disclose receiving the second object in real time.

However, in an analogous art, Finseth discloses an electronic catalog (see at least 20:27-29), which is deemed to be updated in real-time in order to reflect the inventory of items in stock so that when a user searches the electronic catalog for an purchasing item and /or places an order, the item(s) is/are available or shown to be available in the near future.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Finseth in Boylan-Knowles because the use of Finseth's real-time operating capability in Boylan-Knowles would help the e-commerce to be more efficient and thus increasing the company sales.

Claim 34

The rejections of base claim 23 and intervening claim 33 are incorporated. Boylan-Knowles-Finseth further discloses *further comprising generating a user interface coupled to* Application/Control Number: 09/854,334 Page 10

Art Unit: 2421

the EPG and configured to receive user interaction with the localized interactive component (Boylan; see at least FIGs. 13, 15).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang-Vu "Antony" Nguyen-Ba whose telephone number is (571) 272-3701. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached at (571) 272-7353.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2400 Group receptionist (571) 272-2400.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

/Hoang-Vu Antony Nguyen-Ba/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2421 June 1, 2010 Application/Control Number: 09/854,334

Page 11

Art Unit: 2421